**PROGRESS EVALUATION FOR PhD CANDIDATE**

 (To be completed by the supervisor)

Name of PhD candidate:

Enrolment date: ...................................................................................

Evaluation date: ..................................................................................

Research topic: ...................................................................................

NAME SIGNATURE

Thesis Advisory Committee: ...........................................................

 ...........................................................

 ...........................................................

# EVALUATION

α) Is there clear indication of progress since the inception of the candidate's research work or his/her previous evaluation? (e.g. new results, resolution of experimental obstacles).

β) Does the progress reported follow the timeframe set by the candidate at enrolment or at his/her previous evaluation? Justify any major deviations.

γ) Based on the course of the project to date, are there any indications for re-evaluating the research plan or re-prioritizing the aims?

δ) Has the committee detected any technical shortcomings that the candidate should improve on in the course of his/her project? (e.g. laboratory or field techniques, computing approaches, statistical analysis)

ε) Has the candidate given clear indications that s/he is capable of publishing his/her research work?

CONCLUSION

Approved Not approved

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments:** |

**For PhD candidates beyond the 3rd year of studies:**

According to law 4957/2022 “maximum duration of PhD studies is 6 years”. If this limit is exceeded, the PhD candidate is automatically removed from the student registers"

**Estimated date of Doctoral Thesis defense:**